

## On gonality automorphisms of $p$ -hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces

Ewa Tyszkowska

**Abstract** A compact Riemann surface  $X$  of genus  $g > 1$  is said to be a  $p$ -hyperelliptic if  $X$  admits a conformal involution  $\rho$  for which  $X/\rho$  has genus  $p$ . This notion is the particular case of so called cyclic  $(q, n)$ -gonal surface which is defined as the one admitting a conformal automorphism  $\delta$  of order  $n$  such that  $X/\delta$  has genus  $q$ . It is known that for  $g > 4p + 1$ ,  $\rho$  is unique and so central in the automorphism group of  $X$ . We give necessary and sufficient conditions on  $p$  and  $g$  for the existence of a Riemann surface of genus  $g$  admitting commuting  $p$ -hyperelliptic involution  $\rho$  and  $(q, n)$ -gonal automorphism  $\delta$  for some prime  $n$  and we study its group of automorphisms and the number of fixed points of  $\delta$ . Furthermore, we deal with automorphism groups of Riemann surfaces admitting central automorphism with at most 8 fixed points. The condition on the small number of fixed points of such an automorphism is justified by the study of  $p$ -hyperelliptic surfaces.

### Sobre automorfismos de gonalidad de superficies de Riemann $p$ -hiperelípticas

**Resumen.** Una superficie de Riemann compacta  $X$  de género  $g > 1$  se dice  $p$ -hiperelíptica si  $X$  admite una involución conforme  $\rho$ , tal que  $X/\rho$  tiene género  $p$ . Las superficies  $p$ -hiperelípticas son un caso particular de las superficies  $(q, n)$ -gonales cíclicas que se definen como aquellas superficies que admiten un automorfismo conforme  $\delta$  de orden  $n$  y de modo que  $X/\delta$  tiene género  $q$ . En este trabajo nos restringiremos al caso en que  $q$  es un número primo mayor que 2. Es un hecho conocido que si  $g > 4p + 1$ , la involución  $\rho$  es única y central en el grupo de automorfismos de  $X$ . Obtenemos condiciones necesarias y suficientes sobre  $p$  y  $g$  para la existencia de superficies de Riemann de género  $g$  que admiten una involución  $p$ -hiperelíptica y un automorfismo  $(q, n)$ -gonal que conmutan. Se determina la presentación de un cociente de los grupos de automorfismos de las superficies de Riemann que admiten un automorfismo  $(q, n)$ -gonal que sea central y con 8 puntos fijos como máximo. Esta restricción sobre el número de puntos fijos se justifica por el estudio anterior de las superficies que son a la vez  $p$ -hiperelípticas y  $(q, n)$ -gonales cíclicas.

---

Submitted by José María Montesinos Amilibia

Received: May 18, 2009. Accepted: November 11, 2009

*Keywords:* Automorphism groups of Riemann surfaces, hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces,  $p$ -hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces,  $p$ -gonal Riemann surfaces, Fuchsian groups

*Mathematics Subject Classifications:* 14H, 30F

© 2010 Real Academia de Ciencias, España

## 1 Introduction

A compact Riemann surface  $X$  of genus  $g \geq 2$  is said to be *p-hyperelliptic* if  $X$  admits a conformal involution  $\rho$ , called a *p-hyperelliptic involution*, such that  $X/\rho$  is an orbifold of genus  $p$ . This notion has been introduced by H. Farkas and I. Kra in [16] where they also proved that for  $g > 4p + 1$ , *p-hyperelliptic involution* is unique and so central in the group of all automorphisms of  $X$ . In [23] it has been proved that every two *p-hyperelliptic involutions* commute for  $3p + 2 \leq g \leq 4p + 1$  and  $X$  admits at most two such involutions if  $g > 3p + 1$ .

In the particular cases  $p = 0, 1$ ,  $X$  are called *hyperelliptic* and *elliptic-hyperelliptic* Riemann surfaces respectively. Hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces and their automorphisms have received a good deal of attention in the literature. In [1] and [10] the authors determined the full groups of conformal automorphisms of such surfaces which made possible to classify symmetry types of such actions in [3]. The *p-hyperelliptic* ( $p \geq 1$ ) surfaces at large have been studied in [4–9, 13–15] and [24], where the most attention has been paid to a study of groups of automorphisms of such surfaces and their symmetries.

In [25], [21] and [22] the classification of conformal actions on *p-hyperelliptic* Riemann surfaces has been given, up to topological conjugacy, for  $p = 0, 1$  and  $2$ , respectively.

A closed Riemann surface  $X$  which can be realized as a  $n$ -sheeted covering of the Riemann sphere is called *n-gonal*. Castelnuovo-Severi proved in [11] that if the genus  $g$  of  $X$  satisfies the inequality  $g > (n - 1)^2$  then a *n-gonality automorphisms group* is unique. In [19], Gromadzki justified that for  $g \leq (n - 1)^2$ ,  $X$  has one conjugacy class of *n-gonality automorphism groups* in the group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  of automorphisms of  $X$ . This result has been proved using different techniques by González-Díez in [17]. The authors of [12] found the species of symmetries of real cyclic *p-gonal* Riemann surfaces while in [2], groups of automorphisms of cyclic trigonal Riemann surfaces have been determined.

A compact Riemann surface  $X$  is called *(q, n)-gonal* if there exists a cyclic group of automorphism  $C$  of  $X$ , called a *(q, n)-gonal group of prime order n* such that  $X/C$  has genus  $q$ . In [18], the conjugacy of *(q, n)-gonal groups* has been studied. Let us notice that the notion of *(q, 2)-gonality* coincides with *q-hyperellipticity*, whilst *(0, n)-gonality* coincides with *n-gonality*.

In this paper we study *p-hyperelliptic* Riemann surface  $X$  which admits a conformal automorphism  $\delta$ , called *(q, n)-gonal automorphism*, of prime order  $n > 2$  such that  $X/\delta$  has genus  $q$  [18]. If the genus of  $X$  is greater than  $4p + 1$  then  $\delta$  and  $\rho$  commute. We give necessary and sufficient conditions on  $p$  and  $g$  for the existence of such a Riemann surface. We show that  $\delta$  admits 3 or 4 fixed points if  $q = 0$ ; 2–6 if  $q = 1$  and at most 8 if  $p < q$ . We prove that if an automorphism group  $G$  of a Riemann surface  $X$  has a nontrivial centralizer then there exists a cyclic normal subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  and we determine the presentation of a factor group  $G/H$  in the case when a central automorphism of  $X$  has at most 8 fixed points.

## 2 Preliminaries

A *Fuchsian group*  $\Lambda$  is a discrete subgroup of the group of linear fractional transformations

$$\text{LF}(2, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, ad - bc = 1 \right\},$$

of the complex upper half-plane  $\mathcal{H}$  onto itself with compact orbit space. This orbit space can be given an analytic structure such that the projection  $\pi_\Lambda : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/\Lambda$  is holomorphic. The algebraic structure of  $\Lambda$  is determined by the signature  $\sigma(\Lambda) = (g; m_1, \dots, m_r)$ , where  $g, m_i$  are integers verifying  $g \geq 0, m_i \geq 2$ . The signature determines the presentation of  $\Lambda$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{generators: } & x_1, \dots, x_r, a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g, \\ \text{relations: } & x_1^{m_1} = \dots = x_r^{m_r} = x_1 \dots x_r [a_1, b_1] \dots [a_g, b_g] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Such set of generators is called a *canonical set of generators* and often, by abuse of language, its elements, *canonical generators*. Geometrically  $x_i$  are elliptic elements which correspond to hyperbolic rotations and

the remaining generators are hyperbolic translations. The integers  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$  are called the *periods* of  $\Lambda$  and  $g$  is the genus of the orbit space  $\mathcal{H}/\Lambda$ . Fuchsian groups with signatures  $(g; -)$  are called *surface groups* and they are characterized among Fuchsian groups as these ones which are torsion free.

The group  $\Lambda$  has associated to it a fundamental region  $F_\Lambda$  whose area  $\mu(F_\Lambda) = \mu(\Lambda)$ , called the *area of the group*, is:

$$\mu(\Lambda) = 2\pi \left( 2g - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^r (1 - 1/m_i) \right).$$

If  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of finite index in  $\Lambda$ , then we have the *Riemann-Hurwitz formula* which says that

$$[\Lambda : \Gamma] = \frac{\mu(\Gamma)}{\mu(\Lambda)}.$$

By Riemann uniformization theorem, each compact Riemann surface  $X$  of genus  $g \geq 2$  can be represented as the orbit space of the hyperbolic plane  $\mathcal{H}$  under the action of some Fuchsian surface group  $\Gamma$ . Furthermore, a group  $G$  of automorphisms of a surface  $X = \mathcal{H}/\Gamma$  can be represented as  $G = \Lambda/\Gamma$  for another Fuchsian group  $\Lambda$ . The number of fixed points of an automorphism of  $X$  can be calculated by the following theorem of Macbeath [20].

**Theorem 1** *Let  $X = H/\Gamma$  be a Riemann surface with the automorphism group  $G = \Lambda/\Gamma$  and let  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  be elliptic canonical generators of  $\Lambda$  with periods  $m_1, \dots, m_r$  respectively. Let  $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow G$  be the canonical epimorphism and for  $1 \neq g \in G$  let  $\varepsilon_i(g)$  be 1 or 0 according as  $g$  is or is not conjugate to a power of  $\theta(x_i)$ . Then the number  $F(g)$  of points of  $X$  fixed by  $g$  is given by the formula*

$$F(g) = |\mathbb{N}_G(\langle g \rangle)| \sum_{i=1}^r \varepsilon_i(g)/m_i,$$

where  $\mathbb{N}$  is a normalizer.

### 3 $p$ -hyperelliptic Riemann surface with $(q, n)$ -gonal automorphism

In this section we study Riemann surfaces of genera  $g > 1$  which are  $p$ -hyperelliptic and cyclic  $(q, n)$ -gonal simultaneously for a prime  $n > 2$  and a natural  $q$ . If  $g > 4p + 1$ , then its  $(q, n)$ -gonal automorphism and  $p$ -hyperelliptic involution commute. The first theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions on  $p$  and  $g$  for the existence of such a surface.

**Theorem 2** *There exists a  $p$ -hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$  admitting  $(q, n)$ -gonal automorphism commuting with a  $p$ -hyperelliptic involution if and only if  $p = n\gamma + b(n - 1)/2$  and  $g = nq + a(n - 1)/2$  for some integers  $\gamma, b, a$  such that*

$$b = -2 \text{ or } b \geq 0, \quad b \leq a \leq 2(b + 1), \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq (q + 1)/2. \quad (1)$$

Furthermore, the  $(q, n)$ -gonal automorphism admits  $a + 2$  fixed points.

PROOF. Assume that a Riemann surface  $X = \mathcal{H}/\Gamma$  admits  $p$ -hyperelliptic involution  $\rho$  and  $(q, n)$ -gonal automorphism  $\delta$ . The groups  $\langle \delta \rangle$  and  $\langle \rho \rangle$  can be identified with  $\Gamma_\delta/\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_\rho/\Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma_\delta$  and  $\Gamma_\rho$  are Fuchsian groups containing  $\Gamma$  as a normal subgroup of index  $n$  and 2, respectively. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula they have signatures

$$\sigma(\Gamma_\delta) = (q; n \cdot r \cdot, n) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\Gamma_\rho) = (p; 2, \cdot s \cdot, 2), \quad (2)$$

where  $s = 2g + 2 - 4p$  and  $r = 2 + (2g - 2nq)/(n - 1)$ . Thus  $g = nq + a(n - 1)/2$  for  $a = r - 2$ . If  $\rho$  and  $\delta$  commute then they generate the group  $\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$  which can be represented by  $\Lambda/\Gamma$  for a Fuchsian group  $\Lambda$  with the signature

$$(\gamma; 2, \overset{k_1}{\cdot}, 2, n, \overset{k_2}{\cdot}, n, 2n, \overset{k_3}{\cdot}, 2n). \quad (3)$$

By the Riemann-Hurwith formula

$$2g - 2 = 4n\gamma - 4n + nk_1 + 2k_2(n - 1) + k_3(2n - 1) \quad (4)$$

and according to Theorem 1

$$nk_1 = s - k_3, \quad 2k_2 = r - k_3.$$

By substituting the last equalities to (4), we obtain  $p = n\gamma + b(n - 1)/2$ , for an integer  $b$  such that  $a = 2b + 2 - k_3$ . Thus

$$k_1 = 2q + a - 4\gamma - 2b, \quad k_2 = a - b, \quad k_3 = 2 + 2b - a$$

are nonnegative integers if and only if the inequalities (1) are satisfied.

Conversely, assume that  $g = nq + a(n - 1)/2$  and  $p = n\gamma + b(n - 1)/2$  for some integers  $a, b$  and  $\gamma$  satisfying the inequalities (1). Then there exists a Fuchsian group  $\Lambda$  with the signature (3). Let  $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow \langle \rho \rangle \oplus \langle \delta \rangle$  be an epimorphism which maps all hyperbolic generators of  $\Lambda$  onto  $\rho\delta$ , the first  $k_1$  of elliptic generators onto  $\rho$  and the remaining in the following way :

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{\delta \dots \delta}_{(k_2+1)/2} \underbrace{\delta^{-1} \dots \delta^{-1}}_{(k_2-3)/2} \delta^{-2} \underbrace{\rho\delta \dots \rho\delta}_{(k_3+1)/2} \underbrace{\rho\delta^{-1} \dots \rho\delta^{-1}}_{(k_3-3)/2} \rho\delta^{-2} \quad \text{if } k_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } k_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ & \underbrace{\delta \dots \delta}_{(k_2+1)/2} \underbrace{\delta^{-1} \dots \delta^{-1}}_{(k_2-3)/2} \delta^{-2} \underbrace{\rho\delta \dots \rho\delta}_{k_3/2} \underbrace{\rho\delta^{-1} \dots \rho\delta^{-1}}_{k_3/2} \quad \text{if } k_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \text{ and } k_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ & \underbrace{\delta \dots \delta}_{k_2/2} \underbrace{\delta^{-1} \dots \delta^{-1}}_{k_2/2} \underbrace{\rho\delta \dots \rho\delta}_{(k_3+1)/2} \underbrace{\rho\delta^{-1} \dots \rho\delta^{-1}}_{(k_3-3)/2} \rho\delta^{-2} \quad \text{if } k_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } k_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ & \underbrace{\delta \dots \delta}_{k_2/2} \underbrace{\delta^{-1} \dots \delta^{-1}}_{k_2/2} \underbrace{\rho\delta \dots \rho\delta}_{k_3/2} \underbrace{\rho\delta^{-1} \dots \rho\delta^{-1}}_{k_3/2} \quad \text{if } k_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } k_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the kernel of  $\theta$  is a surface Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  of genus  $g$  while  $\theta^{-1}(\rho)$  and  $\theta^{-1}(\delta)$  are Fuchsian groups with the signatures (2). Thus  $\mathcal{H}/\Gamma$  is a  $p$ -hyperelliptic Riemann surface admitting  $(q, n)$ -gonal automorphism. It is easy to notice that for  $k_2 < 3$  or  $k_3 < 3$ , such an epimorphism does not exist if and only if  $k_2 + k_3 + \gamma = 0$  or  $k_2 + k_3 = 1$ . The first equality is never satisfied since if  $k_2 + k_3 = 0$  then  $b = -2$  and  $p = n(\gamma - 1) + 1$  what requires  $\gamma \geq 1$ . The second one occurs for  $b = -1$  and therefore this value of  $b$  is rejected. ■

**Corollary 1** *Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -hyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus  $g > 4p + 1$ . Then for any prime  $n \geq 3$ ,*

- (i)  *$X$  can be realized as cyclic  $n$ -sheeted covering of the Riemann sphere if and only if  $p = 0$  and  $g = n - 1$  or  $g = (n - 1)/2$  and its cyclic  $n$ -gonal automorphism admits 4 or 3 fixed points, respectively.*
- (ii)  *$X$  can be realized as cyclic  $n$ -sheeted covering of an elliptic curve if and only if  $p = 0$  and  $g \in \{2n - 1, (3n - 1)/2, n\}$  or  $p = (n - 1)/2$  and  $g \in \{3n - 2, (5n - 3)/2\}$  and its  $(1, n)$ -gonal automorphism admits 4, 3, 2 or 6, 5 fixed points, respectively.*

**Corollary 2** *Let  $X = \mathcal{H}/\Gamma$  be a Riemann surface of genus  $g \geq 2$  which admits  $p$ -hyperelliptic involution  $\rho$  and  $(q, n)$ -gonal automorphism  $\delta$  for  $p < n$ . If  $\delta$  and  $\rho$  commute then  $p = b(n - 1)/2$ ,  $g = nq + a(n - 1)/2$  for integers  $a, b$  in range  $0 \leq b \leq 2$  and  $b \leq a \leq 2b + 2$  and a Fuchsian group  $\Lambda$  such that  $\langle \delta, \rho \rangle = \Lambda/\Gamma$  has a signature  $(0; 2, \overset{2q+a-2b}{\cdot}, 2, n, \overset{a-b}{\cdot}, n, 2n, \overset{2b+2-a}{\cdot}, 2n)$ . Furthermore,  $\delta$  admits  $a + 2 \leq 8$  fixed points.*

The last corollary is the inspiration for the next section in which we study the groups of automorphisms of a Riemann surface admitting a central automorphism with at most 8 fixed points.

## 4 Automorphism groups of a Riemann surface with nontrivial centralizer

Let  $G$  be an automorphism group of a Riemann surface  $X$  of genus  $g \geq 2$  admitting a central element  $\delta$  of order  $n$ . If  $z \in X$  is a fixed point of  $\delta$ , then  $\delta$  preserves all points in the orbit  $Gz$ . Assume that the stabilizer  $\text{Stab}(z)$  of  $z$  is a cyclic group of order  $m$  generated by  $x \in G$ . Then  $n$  divides  $m$  and  $\langle \delta \rangle = \langle x^{m/n} \rangle$ . Any element  $g \in G$  permutes points of  $Gz$  and we shall assign a permutation  $\sigma_g \in S_k$  to  $g$ , where  $k = |Gz| = |G|/m$ . The permutation  $\sigma_x$  splits into product of cycles of lengths  $t_1, \dots, t_\beta$ , respectively, where  $t_j$  divide  $m$ . Let  $g_1, \dots, g_\beta$  be different elements of  $G$  for which  $t_j$  are the smallest positive integers such that  $x^{t_j} \in g_j \langle x \rangle g_j^{-1}$ . Then

$$Gz = \{h_1 z, \dots, h_\alpha z, g_1 z, x g_1 z, \dots, x^{t_1-1} g_1 z, \dots, g_\beta z, \dots, x^{t_\beta-1} g_\beta z\},$$

where  $\alpha = k - (t_1 + \dots + t_\beta)$  and  $h_i \in G$  normalize  $\langle x \rangle$ . We shall denote points  $h_i z$  by  $z_i$ , in particular  $z$  by  $z_1$ , and points  $x^l g_j z$  by  $z_{j,l}$ . In order to determine the presentation of  $G$  we shall need the following lemmata.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $r_i$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $g_i^{r_i} \in \langle x \rangle$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \beta$ . Then there exists an integer  $b_i$  such that  $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ ,  $(m/t_i, b_i) = 1$ ,  $b_i^{r_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{m/t_i}$  and*

$$g_i x^{t_i} g_i^{-1} = x^{b_i t_i}.$$

Moreover,  $g_i^{r_i} = x^{p_i}$  for some  $p_i$  such that  $p_i \equiv 0 \pmod{t_i}$  and  $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\gcd(m, p_i)}$ .

PROOF. Assume that  $x^{t_i} = g_i x^{t_i l_i} g_i^{-1}$  for an integer  $l_i$  co-prime with  $m/t_i$ . Then there exist  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  such that  $a_i m/t_i + b_i l_i = 1$  and so  $g_i x^{t_i} g_i^{-1} = x^{b_i t_i}$ .

If  $c$  is an integer such that  $x^c$  and  $g_i$  commute then  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{t_i}$  what implies  $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\gcd(m, c)}$ . Otherwise, a smaller power than  $x^{t_i}$  would belong to  $g_i \langle x \rangle g_i^{-1}$ . In particular,  $p_i \equiv 0 \pmod{t_i}$ ,  $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\gcd(m, p_i)}$  and  $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . Finally, since  $g_i^{r_i}$  and  $x$  commute, it follows that  $b_i^{r_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{m/t_i}$ . ■

**Lemma 2** *For any  $i$  in range  $1 \leq i \leq \beta$ ,  $g_i$  maps the set  $F = \{z_1, \dots, z_\alpha\}$  into  $Gz \setminus F$ . Furthermore, if  $g_i$  maps a point of  $F$  into  $z_{i',l}$  for some  $1 \leq i' \leq \beta$  and  $1 \leq l \leq t_{i'}$  then  $t_i = t_{i'}$  and  $g_{i'}$  maps a point of  $F$  into  $z_{i,-l}$ .*

PROOF. On a contrary, suppose that  $g_i(z_j) = z_{j'}$  for some  $z_j, z_{j'} \in F$ . Then  $z_j$  is a fixed point of  $g_i^{-1} x g_i$ . Thus  $g_i^{-1} x g_i \in h_j \langle x \rangle h_j^{-1} = \langle x \rangle$  what implies  $z_{i,0} = z_{i,1}$ , a contradiction. So  $g_i$  maps every  $z_j \in F$  into some point  $z_{i',l} \in Gz \setminus F$ . Thus  $x^l g_{i'} x g_{i'}^{-1} x^{-l} = g_i h_j x h_j^{-1} g_i^{-1} \in g_i \langle x \rangle g_i^{-1}$  what implies  $t_i = t_{i'}$ .

Now let  $g \in G$  be such an element that  $g_{i'}(gz) = z_{i,-l}$ . Then  $z_{i',0} = g_{i'} g(g^{-1}z) = x^{-l} g_i x^s (g^{-1}z)$  for some integer  $s$  and so  $z_{i',l} = g_i(x^s g^{-1}z)$  what implies  $g^{-1}z = z_j$ . Thus  $g x g^{-1} \in \langle x \rangle$  what means that  $gz \in F$ . ■

By the proof of Lemma 2, we obtain the following

**Corollary 3** *If  $\beta \neq 0$  then  $\alpha \leq t_1 + \dots + t_\beta$  and  $G$  is generated by  $x$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_\beta$ .*

**Lemma 3** *If  $g_s(z_{i_0, l_0}) = z$  for some  $s, i_0$  and  $l_0$  in range  $1 \leq s, i_0 \leq \beta$  and  $1 \leq l_0 \leq t_{i_0}$ , respectively, then  $t_s = t_{i_0}$ . In particular, for  $s = i_0$ , the element  $g = g_{i_0} x^{l_0-1}$  satisfies the relation  $(gx)^2 = 1$  modulo  $x^{t_{i_0}}$  and*

$$g(z_{i,l}) = z_{i',l'} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad g(z_{i',l'+1}) = z_{i,l-1}, \quad (5)$$

$$g(z_j) = z_{i,l} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad g(z_{i,l+1}) = z_j, \quad (6)$$

$$\text{if } g(z_{i,l+1}) = z_{i,l} \quad \text{then } t_i \text{ is even and for } i = i_0, \quad x^{t_i/2} g = g x^{1-l} g x^l. \quad (7)$$

PROOF. Since  $g_s x^{l_0} g_{i_0} \in \langle x \rangle$ , it follows that  $g_s^{-1} x g_s = x^{l_0} g_{i_0} x g_{i_0}^{-1} x^{-l_0}$  what implies  $t_{i_0} = t_s$ . If  $s = i_0$  and  $g = g_{i_0} x^{l_0-1}$  then  $(gx)^2 z = z$  and so  $(gx)^2 = x^q$  for some integer  $q$ . Thus  $g^2 x = g x^{q-1} g^{-1}$ . On the other hand  $x^q = g x g^{-1} g^2 x$  implies that  $g^2 x = g x^{-1} g^{-1} x^q$ . Consequently,  $g x^q g^{-1} = x^q$  and so  $q \equiv 0 \pmod{t_{i_0}}$ .

The statements (5) and (6) follow from the relation  $(gx)^2 = x^q$ .

If  $g(z_{i,l+1}) = z_{i,l}$  then  $gx$  preserves point  $z_{i,l}$  and so  $gx = x^l g_i x^r g_i^{-1} x^{-l}$  for some  $r$  not being a multiple of  $t_i$ . If  $t_i$  is odd then rising the last equation to second power we obtain that  $g x^{r'} g^{-1} \in \langle x \rangle$  for some integer  $r' < t_i$  against our choice of  $t_i$ . For even  $t_i$ ,  $r = t_i/2$  and additionally if  $i = i_0$  then using the relation  $(gx)^2 = x^q$  we obtain  $g x^{1-l} g x^l = x^{t/2} g$ . ■

**Lemma 4** *Let  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, \beta\}$ ,  $l \in \{1, \dots, t_j\}$  and  $l' \in \{1, \dots, t_i\}$ .*

(i) *If  $g \in G$  preserves point  $z_{j,l}$  then  $g^{t_j} \in \langle x \rangle$ .*

(ii) *If  $g_i(z_{j,l}) = z_{i,l'}$ , then  $t_j$  divides  $t_i$ .*

PROOF. (i) By the assumption,  $g \in x^l g_j \langle x \rangle g_j^{-1} x^{-l}$  and so  $g^{t_j} \in \langle x \rangle$ .

(ii) Here  $g_j = x^{-l} g_i^{-1} x^{l'} g_i x^s$  for some integer  $s$ . Thus  $g_j x^{t_i} g_j^{-1} \in \langle x \rangle$  and so  $t_j$  divides  $t_i$ . ■

**Theorem 3** *Let  $G$  be a group of automorphisms of a Riemann surface  $X$  admitting a central automorphism  $\delta$  of order  $n$  and suppose that  $\delta$  admits  $k \leq 8$  fixed points in the same orbit. Then for  $k > 1$ , there exists an element  $x \in G$  of order  $m = |G|/k$  and an integer  $t$  dividing  $m$  such that  $H = \langle x^t \rangle$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $\delta \in H$  and  $G/H$  has one of presentations listed in Table 1. For  $k = 1$ ,  $G$  is a cyclic group.*

PROOF. Since  $k < 9$  then the sequence of parameters for the action of  $G$  on such an orbit must be of the form  $C_k = (\alpha; t_1, t_2, t_3)$ . First we show that some sequences are not possible. For, suppose that  $t_1 \neq t_2$  and  $t_1 \neq t_3$ . Then by Lemma 3,  $g_1(z_{1,l_0}) = z$  for some  $l_0 = 1, \dots, t_1$  and we shall use  $g = g_1 x^{l_0-1}$  instead of  $g_1$ . Furthermore, according to Lemma 2,  $g(F) \subset \{z_{1,0}, \dots, z_{1,t_1}\}$  and if  $z_{1,l}$  is an image of a point from  $F$  then  $z_{1,-l}$  is also an image of a point from  $F$ . In particular, if  $F$  contains only two points  $z_1 = z$  and  $z_2$  then  $x^{-l} g z = g(z_2) = x^l g z$  what requires  $t$  even. Thus the sequences  $C_5 = (2; 3, 0, 0)$ ,  $C_7 = (2; 3, 2, 0)$  and  $C_7 = (2; 5, 0, 0)$  must be rejected. For  $C_8 = (3; 3, 2, 0)$ , without lost of generality we can assume that  $g(z_2) = x g z$  and  $g(z_3) = x^2 g z$ . Thus by (6),  $z_2 = g(x^2 g z)$  and  $z_3 = g(g z)$ . So it remains that  $g$  preserves or exchanges points  $z_{2,0}$  and  $z_{2,1}$  what by item (i) of Lemma 4 implies that  $g^2 \in \langle x \rangle$  or  $g x = g_2 x g_2^{-1} = x^{-1} g$ , respectively. Thus not all points in  $Gz$  are different against the assumption. Similarly for  $C_8 = (3; 5, 0, 0)$ , we can assume that  $g(z_2) = x^2 g z$  and  $g(z_3) = x^3 g z$ . Thus by (6) and (7),  $\sigma_g = (1, 4, 5)(2, 6, 8, 3, 7)$  and so  $g^3 \in \langle x \rangle$ . However  $g^3(z_2) \neq z_2$ , a contradiction once again.

If  $t_i$  does not divide  $t_1$  for  $i = 1$  or  $2$  then by item (ii) of Lemma 4,  $g(z_{i,l}) \notin \{z_{1,1}, \dots, z_{1,t_1}\}$ . Thus for  $C_8 = (1; 5, 2, 0)$  and  $C_6 = (1; 3, 2, 0)$ ,  $g$  preserves points  $z_{2,0}, z_{2,1}$  or exchanges them what has been shown is impossible. Using (7) for  $C_8 = (1; 3, 2, 2)$ , we conclude that  $\sigma_g$  is a product of cycles, one of which is  $(1, 2, 3)$ , and so  $g^3 = x^p$  for some integer  $p$ . However since  $\sigma_g$  neither preserves nor exchanges points  $z_{i,0}$  and  $z_{i,1}$ , it follows that  $g^3(z_{2,0}) \neq z_{2,s}$  for  $s = 0, 1$ , a contradiction. The sequence  $C_8 = (1; 4, 3, 0)$  is also impossible since there does not exist  $\sigma_g$  for which  $g(z_{2,l}) \neq z_{1,l'}$  and  $g(z_{2,l+1}) \neq z_{2,l}$  for  $l = 0, 1, 2$  and  $l' = 1, \dots, 4$ .

Since the case  $(1; 3, 2, 2)$  is rejected and  $k < 9$ , it follows that two parameters  $t_i$  in the sequence  $C_k = (\alpha; t_1, t_2, t_3)$  can be equal if and only if  $t_i \in \{0, 2\}$  or  $t_i \in \{0, 3\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . We shall describe only the first possibility since the second one can be solved in the similar way. However in most cases all parameters  $t_1, t_2$  and  $t_3$  are different and first we concentrate on them. So assume that  $t_1, t_2, t_3$  are different integers. Then by Lemma 3, there exist  $i$  and  $l$  in range  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  and  $1 \leq l \leq t_i$ , respectively such that  $g_i(z_{i,l}) = z$  and it is convenient to exchange  $g_i$  for  $g = g_i x^{l-1}$  which satisfies the relation  $(gx)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{x^t}$ , for  $t = t_i$ . From now on we will write all relations modulo  $x^t$  unless we say differently. Let us notice that  $g(x g^s z) = x^{-1} g^{s-1} z$  for  $s = 1, \dots, r$  and so  $g(x g z) = z$ . We find the permutation  $\sigma_g$  and by



$k$	Case	Presentation of $\tilde{G}$
$2 \leq k \leq 8$	$k.1$	$\langle g : g^k = 1 \rangle$
	$k.2$	$\langle x, g : x^2 = 1, g^k = 1, (gx)^2 = 1 \rangle$
4	4.3	$\langle x, g : x^3 = 1, g^3 = 1, (gx)^2 = 1 \rangle$
5	5.3	$\langle x, g : g^4 = 1, gxg^{-1} = xgx^{-1}, g^2 = x^2(gx)x^{-2} \rangle$
6	6.3	$\langle x, g : x^4 = 1, g^3 = 1, (gx)^2 = 1 \rangle$
	6.4	$\langle x, g : x^3 = 1, g^6 = 1, xg^3x^{-1} = gx \rangle$
	6.5	$\langle x, g : x^2 = 1, g^3 = 1, (gx)^3 = 1 \rangle$
7	7.3	$\langle x, g : g^3 = 1, x^3gx^{-3} = gx^2g^{-1}, gx^3g^{-1} = x^2(gx)x^{-2} \rangle$
8	8.3	$\langle x, g : x^3 = 1, g^4 = 1, (gx)^2 = 1 \rangle$
	8.4	$\langle x, g : g^6 = 1, gxg^{-1} = xg^2x^{-1}, (gx)^2 = 1 \rangle$
	8.5	$\langle x, g : x^4 = 1, g^8 = 1, (gx)^2 = 1, [g^2, x] = 1 \rangle$
	8.6	$\langle x, g : g^7 = 1, (gx)^2 = 1, x^2g^{-1}x^{-2} = gxg^{-1} \rangle$
	8.7	$\langle x, g : x^3 = 1, g^4 = 1, (gx)^3 = 1, [g^2, x] = 1 \rangle$
	8.8	$\langle x, g : x^3 = 1, g^4 = 1, (gx)^3 = g^2, [g^2, x] = 1 \rangle$
	8.9	$\langle x, g : x^3 = 1, g^3 = 1, (gx)^2 = g^{-1}xg \rangle$
	8.10	$\langle x, g : g^3 = 1, (gx)^4 = 1, xgx^{-1} = gx^{-1}g^{-1} \rangle$
	8.11	$\langle x, g : x^3 = 1, g^7 = 1, gx = g^{-1}xg \rangle$
	8.13	$\langle x, g : x^2 = 1, g^4 = 1, (gx)^4 = 1, [g^2, x] = 1 \rangle$
	8.14	$\langle x, g : x^2 = 1, g^8 = 1, (gx)^8 = 1, [g^2, x] = 1 \rangle$
	8.15	$\langle x, g : x^4 = 1, g^4 = 1, (gx)^2 = 1, [g^2, x^2] = 1 \rangle$
	8.16	$\langle x, g_1, g_2 : x^2 = (g_1x)^2 = g_1^4 = (g_2x)^2 = 1, g_1^2 = g_2^2 \rangle$

 Table 1. The presentation of the group  $G/H$ 

consideration how it acts on points of  $Gz$  we obtain relations which determine the presentation of  $G$ . We consider the case with  $t_1 = t = 4$  as an example, the remaining cases can be solved in the similar way. First we find the all possible values of  $g^2z$ . If  $g^2 = xgz$  then  $g^3 \in \langle x \rangle$  and by (5),  $g(x^2gz) = x^3gz$ . Using the relation  $(gx)^2 = 1$  and  $g^3 = 1$  we calculate that  $(gx^3g)x(gx^3g)^{-1} = x^{-1}$  what means that  $g(x^3gz)$  is a fixed point of  $x$ , say  $z_2$ . Thus by (6),  $g(z_2) = x^2gz$ . It is easy to notice that  $Gz$  cannot have any other points but  $z, z_2, gz, \dots, x^3gz$  since otherwise we get a contradiction with lemata. So we get the sequence  $C_6 = (2, 4, 0, 0)$  for which  $\sigma_g = (1, 3, 4)(2, 5, 6)$ . By Lemma 1 and Corollary 3,  $G$  is generated by  $x, g$  and admits a normal cyclic subgroup  $H = \langle x^4 \rangle$ . By analyzing  $\sigma_g$  we conclude that  $\tilde{G} = G/H$  has the presentation 6.3.

Next suppose that  $g^2 = x^2gz$ . Then by (5),  $x^3gz$  is a fixed point of  $g$  and so by Lemma 4,  $g^4 \in \langle x \rangle$ . Thus  $g(x^2gz) = g^3z = xgz$ . Since  $Gz$  cannot have any additional points, it follows that  $C_5 = (1; 4, 0, 0)$ ,  $\sigma_g = (1, 2, 4, 3)$  and  $\tilde{G}$  has the presentation 5.3.

If  $g^2z = x^3gz$  then  $gxg^2z = g^2z$ . Thus  $gx$  preserves point  $g^2z$  and so  $gx = g^2x^2g^{-2}$ . Consequently  $z = gxgz = g^2x^2g^{-1}z = g^2x^3gz = g^4z$ . So  $g^4 \in \langle x \rangle$  and we conclude that for  $C_5 = (1; 4, 0, 0)$ ,  $\sigma_g = (1, 2, 5, 3)$  and  $\tilde{G}$  has the presentation  $\tilde{G} = \langle x, g : x^4 = 1, g^4 = 1, gxg^{-1} = x^2gx^{-2}, gx^2g^{-1} = xg \rangle$  which is isomorphic to 5.3.

If  $g^2z = z_2 \in F$  then  $g(z_2) = x^3gz$ . Thus according to Lemma 2, there exists  $z_3 \in F$  such that  $xgz = g(z_3)$  and so by (6),  $z_3 = g(x^2gz)$ . If  $g(x^3gz) = x^2gz$  then by (7),  $x^2g = gx^3gx^2$ . However  $x^2g(gz) \neq gx^3gx^2(gz)$  and so there exists one more point  $z_4 \in F$  such that  $g(x^3gz) = z_4$ . Thus  $g(z_4) =$

$x^2gz$  and  $\sigma_g = (1, 5, 2, 8, 4, 7, 3, 6)$ . So for  $C_8 = (4; 4, 0, 0)$ ,  $\tilde{G}$  has the presentation 8.5.

Finally suppose that  $g^2z = z_{2,0}$ . Then  $g(z_{2,1}) = z_{1,3}$  and so by item (ii) of Lemma 4,  $t_2 = 2$ . Let us consider all possible values of  $g^3z$ . If  $g^3z = z_{1,1}$  then  $g^4 \in \langle x \rangle$  and  $z_{2,1} = g(z_{1,2})$ . Furthermore,  $g(z_{1,3}) \neq z_{1,2}$  since otherwise by (7),  $x^2g = gx^3gx^2$ . However by evaluation the last equality in  $z_{1,0}$  we obtain different points. Thus there exists  $z_2 \in F$  such that  $g(z_{1,3}) = z_2$  and consequently  $g(z_2) = z_{1,2}$ . So for  $C_8 = (2; 4, 2, 0)$ ,  $\sigma_g = (1, 3, 7, 4)(2, 5, 8, 6)$  and  $\tilde{G}$  has the presentation 8.15.

If  $g^3z = z_{1,2}$  then  $z_{2,1} = g(z_{1,3})$  and it remains that  $g(z_{1,2}) = z_{1,1}$  or  $g(z_{1,2}) = z_2$  for some  $z_2 \in F$ . In the first case by (7),  $x^2g = g^2x$  against the assumption that  $z_{2,0} = g^2z$ . The second one is also impossible since then  $g(z_2) = z_{1,1}$ . However there does not exist an integer  $s$  such that  $g^2x^2g(z_2) = xgx^s(z_2)$ .

If  $g^3 = z_{2,1}$  then  $g(z_{1,2}) \neq z_{1,1}$  and  $g$  does not preserve  $z_{1,2}$ . Thus there exists  $z_2 \in F$  such that  $g(z_{1,2}) = z_2$  what implies  $g(z_2) = z_{1,1}$ . So it remains that  $g(z_{1,3}) = z_{1,2}$ . However  $x^2g(z_2) \neq gx^3gx^2(z_2)$ , a contradiction with (7).

Now we shall consider the sequences  $C_k = (\alpha; t_1, t_2, t_3)$ , where  $t_i \in \{0, 2\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . First suppose that one of  $g_i$ , say  $g_1$ , satisfies  $(g_ix)^2 \in \langle x \rangle$ . Then  $xg_1^s = g_1^{-s}x$  for  $s = 1, \dots, r$ , where  $r$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $g_1^r \in \langle x \rangle$ . Thus  $g_1^s z$  is a fixed point of  $x$  if and only if  $r$  is even and  $s = r/2$ , in this case we shall denote the point  $g_1^{r/2}z$  by  $z_2$ . In particular, if  $r = k$  then  $\alpha = 1$  or  $2$  according to  $k$  being odd or even, respectively,  $g = g_1$  and  $x$  generate  $G$  and

$$\tilde{G} = \langle x, g : x^2 = 1, g^k = 1, (gx)^2 = 1 \rangle. \quad (8)$$

Since  $g_1$  neither preserves nor exchanges points  $z_{j,l}$  and  $z_{j,l+1}$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3$  and  $l = 0, 1$ , it follows that we have the following possibilities for  $r < k$ :

- (i)  $r = 3$ ,  $C_6 = (2; 2, 2, 0)$ ,  $\sigma_{g_1} = (1, 3, 4)(2, 5, 6)$ ,
- (ii)  $r = 4$ ,  $C_8 = (2; 2, 2, 2)$ ,  $\sigma_{g_1} = (1, 3, 2, 4)(5, 7)(6, 8)$  or  $(1, 3, 2, 4)(5, 6, 7, 8)$ ,
- (iii)  $r = 4$ ,  $C_8 = (4; 2, 2, 0)$ ,  $\sigma_{g_1} = (1, 5, 2, 6)(3, 8, 4, 7)$ . By analyzing  $\sigma_{g_1}$  we conclude that  $G$  is generated by  $x$  and  $g_2$ . So we shall find  $\sigma_{g_2}$  in order to determine the presentation of  $G$ . If  $z = g_2(z_{1,l})$  for some  $l \in \{0, 1\}$  then not all points in  $Gz$  are different. So we can assume that  $(g_2z)^2 \in \langle x \rangle$ .

(i) Since  $xg_1$  preserves point  $z_{2,0}$ , it follows that  $xg_1 = g_2xg_2^{-1}$ . Thus  $g_1 = g_2^{-2}$  and so  $g_2^6 \in \langle x \rangle$ . Consequently  $\tilde{G}$  has the presentation (8), where  $k = 6$  and  $g = g_2$ .

(ii) Let us notice that the first permutation leads to a contradiction. Indeed, since  $g_1^2$  preserves  $z_{2,0}$ , it follows that  $g_1^2 = g_2xg_2^{-1}$ . Thus if  $z'$  is a fixed point of  $g_1^2$  then  $g_2^{-1}(z') \in F$ . However  $g_1^2$  admits 4 fixed points and therefore not all points in  $Gz$  are different. By the second permutation,  $xg_1$  preserves  $(z_{2,0})$ , what implies  $g_1 = xg_2xg_2^{-1} = g_2^{-2}$ . Thus  $g_2^8 \in \langle x \rangle$  and so  $\tilde{G}$  has the presentation (8), where  $k = 8$  and  $g = g_2$ .

(iii) Since  $xg_1^2$  preserves  $z_{2,0}$ , it follows that  $xg_1^2 = g_2xg_2^{-1}$  and so  $g_1^2 = g_2^2$ . Thus we conclude that  $\sigma_{g_2} = (1, 7, 2, 8)(3, 5, 4, 6)$  and  $\tilde{G}$  has the presentation 8.16.

Next suppose that  $(g_ix)^2 \notin \langle x \rangle$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Then without lost of generality we can assume that  $z_{2,l} = x^l g^{-1}z$  for  $l = 0, 1$  and  $g = g_1$ . Let us notice that  $g(z_{2,1}) \neq z_{1,1}$  since otherwise  $gxg^{-1} = xgx^s$  for some integer  $s$  and evaluation the last equality in  $z_{1,0}$  implies that  $g(z_{1,s}) = z_{1,1}$ , a contradiction. Since  $g$  does not preserve any points  $z_{i,l}$  and  $g(z_{2,l}) \neq z_{2,l+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , it follows that the sequences  $C_5 = (1; 2, 2, 0)$  and  $C = (3; 2, 2, 0)$  are impossible. For  $C_6 = (2; 2, 2, 0)$ ,  $g(z_{2,1}) = z_2$  and  $g(z_2)$  is one of points  $z_{1,1}, z_{2,0}, z_{2,1}$ . Using Lemma 2 we check that all possibilities provide a contradiction except the first one. Here  $\sigma_g = (1, 3, 5)(2, 4, 6)$  and we conclude that  $\tilde{G}$  has the presentation 6.5. For  $C_8 = (2; 2, 2, 2)$  we obtain the presentation 8.12. Finally for  $C_8 = (4; 2, 2, 0)$ , since  $g(F) = Gz \setminus F$ , we can assume that  $z_2 = g^2z$  and so  $[g^2, x] = 1$ . Furthermore,  $g^3z \in \{z_{1,1}, z_{2,0}, z_{2,1}\}$ . If  $g^3z = z_{1,1}$  then  $z_{1,0} = xg^3z = g^2xgz$  what implies  $z_{1,1} = z_{2,0}$ , a contradiction. If  $g^3z = z_{2,0}$  then  $g^4 \in \langle x \rangle$  and so  $g^2(xgz) = xg^3z$  and  $g^2(xg^3z) = xgz$ . Thus  $\sigma_g = (1, 5, 2, 7)(3, 8, 4, 6)$  and  $\tilde{G}$  has the presentation 8.13. If  $g^3z = z_{2,1}$  then  $g^{-1}z = xg^3z = g(gxgz)$ . Here  $\sigma_g = (1, 5, 2, 8, 4, 6, 3, 7)$  and  $\tilde{G}$  has the presentation 8.14.



If  $\beta = 0$ , then  $G$  is generated by two elements  $g$  and  $x$ ,  $\langle x \rangle$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $\tilde{G} = \langle g : g^k = 1 \rangle$ . ■

By corollaries 1 and 3 we obtain the following

**Corollary 4** *Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -hyperelliptic Riemann surface with a central  $(q, n)$ -gonal automorphism  $\delta$ . Then for  $p < n$  or  $q = 0, 1$ ,  $\delta$  has at most 8 fixed points and an automorphism group of  $X$  is determined by Theorem 3.*

**Acknowledgement.** The author supported by the Research Grant N. N201 366436 of the Polish Ministry of Sciences and Higher Education.

## References

- [1] BRANDT, R. AND STICHTENOTH, H., (1986). Die Automorphismengruppen hyperelliptischer Kurven, *Manuscripta Math.*, **55**, 1, 83–92. DOI: [10.1007/BF01168614](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01168614)
- [2] BUJALANCE, E.; CIRRE, F. J. AND GROMADZKI, G., (2009). Groups of automorphisms of cyclic trigonal Riemann surfaces, *J. Algebra*, **322**, 4, 1086–1103. DOI: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2009.05.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2009.05.017)
- [3] BUJALANCE, E.; CIRRE, F. J.; GAMBOA, J. M. AND GROMADZKI, G., (2001). Symmetry types of hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces, *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)*, **86**.
- [4] BUJALANCE, E. AND COSTA, A. F., (1997). On symmetries of  $p$ -hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces, *Math. Ann.*, **308**, 1, 31–45. DOI: [10.1007/s002080050062](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002080050062)
- [5] BUJALANCE, E. AND ETAYO, J. J., (1988). Large automorphism groups of hyperelliptic Klein surfaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **103**, 3, 679–686. DOI: [10.2307/2046834](https://doi.org/10.2307/2046834)
- [6] BUJALANCE, E. AND ETAYO, J. J., (1988). A characterization of  $q$ -hyperelliptic compact planar Klein surfaces, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, **58**, 1, 95–102. DOI: [10.1007/BF02941371](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02941371)
- [7] BUJALANCE, E.; ETAYO, J. J. AND GAMBOA, J. M., (1986). Surfaces elliptiques-hyperelliptiques avec beaucoup d'automorphismes, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, **302**, 10, 391–394
- [8] BUJALANCE, E.; ETAYO, J. J. AND GAMBOA, J. M., (1987). Topological types of  $p$ -hyperelliptic real algebraic curves. *Math. Z.*, **194**, 2, 275–283.
- [9] BUJALANCE, E., ETAYO, J. J., GAMBOA, J. M. AND GROMADZKI, G., (1990). *Automorphisms Groups of Compact Bordered Klein Surfaces. A Combinatorial Approach*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1439**, Springer Verlag.
- [10] BUJALANCE, E.; GAMBOA, J. M. AND GROMADZKI, G., (1993). The full automorphisms group of hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces, *Manuscripta Math.*, **79**, 1, 267–282. DOI: [10.1007/BF02568345](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02568345)
- [11] CASTELNUEVO, G., (1906). Sulle serie algebriche di gruppi di punti appartenenti ad una curve algebraica, *Rendiconti della R. Accademia dei Lincei*, Series **5**, XV, (memorie scelte p. 509).
- [12] COSTA, ANTONIO F. AND IZQUIERDO, MILAGROS, (2004). *Symmetries of real cyclic  $p$ -gonal Riemann surfaces*, *Pacific J. Math.*, **213**, 2, 231–243. DOI: [10.2140/pjm.2004.213.231](https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2004.213.231)
- [13] ESTRADA, B., (2000). Automorphism groups of orientable elliptic-hyperelliptic Klein surfaces, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, **25**, 439–456.
- [14] ESTRADA, B., (2002). Geometrical characterization of  $p$ -hyperelliptic planar Klein surfaces, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory*, **2**, 1, 267–279.
- [15] ESTRADA, B. AND MARTÍNEZ, E., (2001). On  $q$ -hyperelliptic  $k$ -bordered tori, *Glasg. Math. J.*, **43**, 3, 343–357. DOI: [10.1017/S0017089501030142](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0017089501030142)

- [16] FARKAS, H. M. AND KRA, I., (1980). *Riemann Surfaces*, Graduate Text in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag.
- [17] GONZÁLEZ-DÍEZ, G., (1995). On prime Galois coverings of Riemann sphere, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **168**, IV, 1–15. DOI: [10.1007/BF01759251](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01759251)
- [18] GROMADZKI, G.; WEAVER, A. AND WOOTTON, A., On gonality of Riemann surfaces, *Geom. Dedicata*, to appear. DOI: [10.1007/s10711-010-9459-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10711-010-9459-x)
- [19] GROMADZKI, G., (2008). On conjugacy of  $p$ -gonality automorphisms of Riemann surfaces, *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, **21**, 1, 83–87.
- [20] MACBEATH, A. M., (1973). Action of automorphisms of a compact Riemann surface on the first homology group, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **5**, 1, 103–108. DOI: [10.1112/blms/5.1.103](https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/5.1.103)
- [21] TYSZKOWSKA, E., (2005). Topological classification of conformal actions on elliptic-hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces, *J. Algebra*, **288**, 2, 345–363. DOI: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2005.03.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2005.03.024)
- [22] TYSZKOWSKA, E., (2008). Topological classification of conformal actions on 2-hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica*, **33**, 4, 345–368.
- [23] TYSZKOWSKA, E., (2005). On  $p$ -hyperelliptic involutions of Riemann surfaces, *Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie Contributions to Algebra and Geometry*, **46**, 2, 581–586.
- [24] TYSZKOWSKA, E. AND WEAVER, A., (2008). Exceptional points in the elliptic-hyperelliptic locus, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **212**, 1415–1426.
- [25] WEAVER, A., (2004). *Hyperelliptic surfaces and their moduli*, *Geom. Dedicata*, **103**, 69–87.

**Ewa Tyszkowska**  
Institute of Mathematics,  
Gdańsk University,  
Wita Stwosza 57,  
80-952 Gdańsk,  
Poland